October 7, 1963 when Mr. LeRoy Hampton 1628 Carolina Chickasha, Okla. 73108 Dear Mr. Hampton: Further reference is made to your letter alleging that homesead land awarded you as a citizen of the Choctaw Nation has been siezed and held illegally. I am enclosing a letter from the Muskogee Area Office stating that the restrictions on your land were removed on February 7. 1920, thereby terminating the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior. Therefore, the Government could not have been a party to the disposal of your land after that date. The land records of Grady County should reveal the manner in which your land changed hands. A signed receipt indicates that you have received the original copy of your Homestead Patent which I returned September 27, 1963. With best regards and good wishes, I am Sincerely yours, Enclosure jy



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

REAL PROF. MIMI.

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

MUSKOGEE AREA OFFICE MUSKOGEE, OKLAHOMA

Hon. A. S. Mike Monroney United States Senate Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Senator Monroney:

We have your letter of September 27, 1963, writted in dehalf of we Leroy Hampton of 1628 Carolina avenue, Chickaeha, Oklahoma, concerning the removal of restrictions from the lands allotted to bin to Oklahoma. Mr. Hampton is an enrolled member of the Chockee Iris opposite Roll No. 10130 and is of one-half blood.

Restrictions were removed from all alletted lands of Five Civilized Tribes Indians of one-half Indian blood to three-fourths Indian with the exception of their homesteads by Act of Congress of May 17 1908 (35 Stat. 312). The following is the portion of that are partialing to such removal of restrictions:

"* * * All land*, except homesteads, of said allottees enrolled as mixed-blood Inciens having nelf or more too half and less than three-fourths Indian blood shall be free from all restrictions."

As for the homestead allotments of those Indians enrolled as onehalf blood or more, in which group Mr. Hampton fells, and of all leads of Indians enrolled as three-fourths blood or more, the following the quoted from the Act of May 27, 1908, supre:

"* * * All homesteads of said allottees enrolled as mixed blood Indians having half or more than half Indian blood, including minors of such degree of blood, and all allotted lands of enrolled full bloods, and enrolled mixed bloods of three-fourths or more Indian blood, including minors of such degrees of blood, shall not be subject to alienation, contract to sail, power of afformaty or any other incumbrance prior to april 26, 1831, except that the Secretary of the Interior may remove such restrictions, wholly or in part, under such roles and resultations concerning terms of sale and disposal of the proceeds for the benefit of the respective Indians as he may present a

The Secretary of the Interior shall not be prohibited by this Act from continuing to remove restrictions as heretofore, and nothing herein shall be construed to impose restrictions removed from land by or under any law prior to the passage of this Act * * *."

Under the authority delegated to the Secretary of the Interior by the Act of May 27, 1908, to "remove such restrictions, wholly or in part," a list was requested of those Indians enrolled as one-half blood, who were 21 years of age or over, able-bodied, and mentally competent. This list was requested on the theory that Indians who were competent and capable of taking care of themselves, should be placed on a footing of equality with non-Indians.

By a letter from the Acting Superintendent for the Five Civilized Tribes, dated January 31, 1920, a list of 27 names, including Mr. Hampton's, was submitted with the information that all persons listed thereon were reported by the Field Clerks to be able-bodied and not mentally deficient, and that each person was 21 years or over and enrolled as a one-half blood. By letter of January 12, 1920, Mr. Hampton protested the proposed removal of restrictions from his homestead land but gave no reason for his protest. Thereafter, orders removing restrictions on Mr. Hampton's land and the lands of the other 26 Indians listed were approved on February 7, 1920.

Mr. Hampton was previously advised that his allotted surplus land was freed from all restrictions in 1908 and that the restrictions against alienation on his homestead land were effectively removed by the Order of February 7, 1920. Such removals terminated the jurisdiction of this Department over all his lands. By the Acts of August 24, 1922 (42 Stat. 831) and August 4, 1947 (61 Stat. 731), Congress set at rest any question regarding the validity of orders removing restrictions, which might previously have been raised on the ground they were issued without application by the allottee.

We hope this information will be helpful in clarifying this situation to you and Mr. Hampton.

Sincerely yours,

Area Director

Cine Assistant

Mr. Le Roy Hampton 1628 Carolina Chickasha, Okla. 73108

Dear Mr. Hampton:

I wish to acknowledge receipt of your letter in which you allege that land awarded you as a citizen of the Choctaw Nation has been siezed and used illegally.

Enclosed is a copy of my letter to the Muskogee Area Office requesting that your contentions be carefully considered, and that I be given a report thereon as soon as possible.

Since the original copy of your Homestead Patent is a valuable document, I am sure you would not want to risk its loss. So that you may return the document to a place of safe keeping, I am returning it to your possession herewith.

With best regards and good wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures

Area Director Bureau of Indian Affairs Muskogee, Okla. 74401

Dear Sir:

Attached hereto is a letter from Mr. LeRoy Hampton, 1628 Carolina, Chickasha, Oklahoma, who alleges the illegal siezure and use of land awarded him as a citizen of the Choctaw Nation, Roll Number 10130.

I have examined the original Homestead Patent, approved October 23, 1905, describing the land as the West Half of the South West Quarter of Section Fifteen (15), Township Five (5) North and Range Five (5) West, (Chickasaw Nation). It has been returned to Mr. Hampton by registered mail.

It will be appreciated if you will consider the allegatimes made by Mr. Hampton, and give me a report there on as soon as practicable.

With best regards, I am

Sincerely yours,

Enclosure cc: Mr. Hampton 1628 Carolina Chickasha, Okla-

How. Mike Monroney U. S. Senate Chamber Washington 25 D.C.

Dear Senotor Monroney.

Attached hereto Original Homestead Patent to 80 A Land. dated Sept. 3, 1903. my homestead.

Sept. 24, 1963.

Swant agricultural Rentals to date. all oil-Gas-mineral Rentals

Re: Roy Hampton - Rose # 10130

1/2 Choctan Indian by blood.

80A. Homestead Land. (Restricted.)

"Official protest of Restriction

Removal Order is of recard.

Reason "Incompetent" also

War time Service Connected

Disabled Veteran, Reason for

Disabled Veteran, Reason for

Disabled Veteran, Reason for

Disablements "Black Outs" Eye

Ears, Digginers Rooming noises Nervousnes

Not of Sound judgement.

Attorneys, the Hoterian Department Office Washington and the Muskloger Ckla. Office The Principal Chief of the Choclaw Nation Henry IV. Belvin who directed me to the Choclaw Councie, attorney W. 7. Semple who told me that he had no contract to represent individual Indians in any motter I have ever Contracted the W.S. attorney General, Washington, and Others whom I thought would assist me in this matter, the matter property forthcoming. To my Knowledge this matter has never been tried in any court of Low.

Auring January 1920, I contacted the Indian agency, Chickasha Okea, requesting them to take overly and physically unable, due to was time service disoblement I received abboutely no assistance from this Office which was in direct disregard to the Congressional act of may 27, 1908.

Unauthorized persons had been utilizing my

lands the 120A. Surplas and my homestead 80A Restricted land since 1919. using my houses farming the land and paying no rentals at least mot the me. The above congressional act of may 27.1908 also protected my homestead 80A- from are incumbranced such as "Power of attorney Contract to Seee, or any other incumbrances up to april 26.1931.

26 30 3 TEM.

by the U.S. Detaior Department Office, Washington, to deprive or destroy the property protective plovisions of the above Congressional act, is in error is terrequear is illegal and istifraudulentact. and is therefore "rule and void and of no affect. Kestrictions from my 80 A. Homestead was made by attaching my name to a long list of acher Indians, deed the restriction order was made wholesale. Such order beingissued in error, was irregular, was illegal and was a fraudulent action the ken against me and my property, and weh actionis therefore necel and Void and of no affect, By reason of the fact I was mentally and physically incomplent of unsound judgement and abbity, at the time Such order was issued funt for some years afteren Unathorized persons who have availed them-Selves of my property - Grady D. Harris Sr. alex Okea, C.L. Worden alex, Okea, Celes Simmond 8053 W. 24, Okeahoma city, a mr. Doybus alex, Okea- and others I have been unable to find who took upon them selves to defroud me of my property. attached Verytruly yours I Homestead Patent. Request return of this patent when it has some of its purpose Le Konsteurplant

No. 686472

				00	04	4 /	2.	
July 1963 NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL	FEES ADDITIONAL TO 20¢ FEE	where delivered che	1 you want a return receipt, check which If you want 1 lot shows 35t shows to whom, delivery only	10 %		STREET AND NO.	The war war a training to	SERVICE MAIL—200
See Officer since		000	100	963 SEP	T.	SWAS	OR DATE	POSTMARK

address sade or hand it to your rural carrier. (no active charge) address sade or hand it to your rural carrier. (no active charge) address want this receipt postmarked, stick the gummed stub on the left postword do not want this receipt, and retain the receipt, and mail the article, be address side of the article, write the certified mail named and mail the article. If you want this receipt postmarked, stick the gummed stub on the left parties of address side of the article, kaning the receipt alleghed, and present the article at a ddress side of the article, kaning the receipt alleghed, and present the article at a ddress side of the article is to your rural carrier. (no other channels at a rural partier, which will be article at a rural partier.

the address are turn receipt, write the certified mail number and your name and you want a return 381 Land attach it to the back of the u receipt card, of article RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED. (Fees-)
Endorse front of article delivered only to the addressee, endorse it on the front D
ent the article delivered only piece the addresses. ipt card, Form 38 I and attach it to the back of the article by means of a ee-50¢). Place the same endorsement in line

addressee Deliver ONLY to INSTRUCTIONS TO DELIVERING EMPLOYEE Additional charges required for these services) RECEIPT Show address where

SURAM

Received the numbered article described on other side

SIGNATURE OR NAME OF ADDRESSEE (must always be filled in)

SIGNATURE OF ADDRESSEE'S AGENT, IF ANY

DATE DELIVERED SHOW WHERE DELIVERED (only if requested)

10-3-63



PAYMENT OF POSTAGE, \$300

POSTMARK OF DELIVERING OFFICE

	-				
INSURED NO.	CERTIFIED NO. 12	REGISTERED NO.	INST K UC II ONS: Full in Items below a instructions on other side, if applicable. Moi ends, attach and hold firmly to back of article front of article Return Rechest Requested	1963	AN ANG
CAY, MONE AND STATE FOR	CERTIFIED, NO. O. STREET AND NO. ORP. O. BOX 6886472 6205 New Jeneto Office Blo	Mr. OF SENDER Mononey	INDIKUCLIONS: full in items below and complete instructions on other side, if applicable. Moisten gummed ends, attach and hold firmly to back of article. Print on front of article RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED.	PEACE	PR
	ite Office Blo	onioner	RETURN	CE	DELIVERING OFFICE

FUD FORM JO | Apr. 1702

C55-16-71548-5-F